Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/823,551	CRENSHAW ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brent A. Swarthout	2612
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Brent A. Swarthout</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Bud Mathis</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 30 October 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claim 17		
Claims discussed: Claim 17		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 17 will be amended by Examiner's Amendment to place Part III. Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
·		
Barent A. SWARTHOUT PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)